Burning Questions: Responses of ecosystems to fire British Columbia, Canada

Evelyn Hamilton Julia Chandler, Sybille Haeussler, Reg Newman

57

Outline

- Project background
- Methods, Locations
- Burning Questions
- Results examples
- Conclusions
- Next Steps
- Contacts & thanks



Project background



- Climate change
- Understanding response of ecosystems to fire is a priority.
- 2 worst fire seasons
- Effects of fire on fuels, soils, vegetation, tree growth studied since 1970s.
- Limited meta-analysis and synthesis relevant to current priorities.
- Data at risk of being lost.
- New questions

Methods

- Determined key questions and data sources
- 2. Compiled data
 - 78 datasets, 3770 plots, 400 plant species
 - Plant species composition, cover
 - Ecosystem, site, soil, regeneration
 - Fire (FWI, fuel loading & consumption)
 - Up to >20 yrs post-fire
- 3. Analysis
 - Ecosystem classification to group sites
 - Ordinations to explore data
 - Plant functional traits to group species
 - Specific analysis mixed effects models





Questions

- 1. General effects of fire
- 2. Vegetation response
- 3. Landscape flammability
- 4. Watershed integrity
- 5. Reforestation, restoration
- 6. Climate change, carbon

Questions



2. Vegetation response

- reforestation success
- restoration success
- wildfire/livestock forage (e.g. grasses, moose browse, berries, lichens)
- people food (e.g. berries, medicinal)
- weedy invasive species
- intermediate hosts for rusts

Location of study sites





BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir in Southern Interior

BCLT 3 - Aspen Mixedwood and Aspen-Grassland in (Sub)Boreal

BCLT 2 - Dry Lodgepole Pine Spruce on Plateau

BCLT 1 - Mesic and Wetter Spruce, Subalpine Fir (Hemlock) in Mountains



BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir in Southern Interior - frequent low severity and some mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 3 - Aspen Mixedwood and Aspen-Grassland in (Sub)Boreal - frequent low and mixed severity wildfires

BCLT 2 - Dry Lodgepole Pine Spruce on Plateau moderately frequent high and mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 1 - Mesic and Wetter Spruce, Subalpine Fir (Hemlock) in Mountains infrequent high severity wildfires



BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir in Southern Interior - frequent low severity and some mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 3 - Aspen Mixedwood and Aspen-Grassland in (Sub)Boreal - frequent low and mixed severity wildfires

BCLT 2 - Dry Lodgepole Pine Spruce on Plateau moderately frequent high and mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 1 - Mesic and Wetter Spruce, Subalpine Fir (Hemlock) in Mountains infrequent high severity wildfires

BCLT 1 - Moist Spruce, Subalpine Fir, Hemlock in Mountains Vegetation 10 years after prescribed burning



ESSF zone – subalpine

SBS – sub boreal



Change in cover of plant functional types (PFT) in burned sites (ESSF)



Change in cover of plant functional types (PFT) in burned sites (ESSF)



semi-shade tolerant gap (e.g.
Petasites frigidus - coltsfoot)



Change in cover of plant functional types (PFT) post-burn in burned sites (ESSF)



ericaceous species (e.g. Rhodendron albiflorum –white flowered rhododendron



Change in cover of plant functional types (PFT) in burned sites (ESSF)



SBS sub-boreal



BCLT 1 - Moist Spruce, Subalpine Fir, Hemlock in Mountains Vegetation 10 years after prescribed burning



ESSF – subalpine Slower grow of conifer, more open sites

SBS – sub boreal Faster conifer growth, more closed stands

BCLT 1 - Moist Spruce, Subalpine Fir, Hemlock in Mountains Cover of moose browse species in drier SBS after wildfire



Moose browse (i.e. deciduous trees, shrubs) cover increased slowly after burning, persisting for at least 20 years.

Very little in dense pine sites.

Conifer abundance has negative impact on browse abundance.



This part of the Swiss Fire was not replanted, only natural regeneration

Cover of berry producing plants after fire

100 64 36 % Cover 800 16 Mixed wood Swamp forest 4 Conifer mod+ sev Conifer low sev 5 10 0 15 20 Time since fire (years)

Total Berry Producers

Berry producing shrub cover increased after burning in all ecosystem for at least 10 years



Cover of Oplopanax horridus (Devil's club) after burning

Oplopanax horridus

80 0 Walker Creek (n=120) * Chuchinka (n=21) Mackenzie (n=6) 60 O 0 $\circ \circ \circ$ % Cover 40 Ο 0 С 0 ത **o** o 00 0 ത Ο Ο 20 C O 0 5 10 15 20 preburn Years since burn (repeated measures)

Typically occurs on moist rich sites. Resprouted and increased in cover very slowly over time. Did not achieve pre-burn cover after 20 years.



Roots used as a medicine by some aboriginal people

- Successful regeneration of planted trees after burns
- Semi-shade tolerant and ericaceous shrubs prominent in ESSF zone by year 20
- Significant cover of berry producing plants by yr 20
- Moose browse species increased after fire for 20 yrs
- Some species like devil's club are sensitive to fire

• *Conclusion:* These ecosystems have been fairly resilient to prescribed burning in the past.



BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir in Southern Interior - frequent low severity and some mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 3 - Aspen Mixedwood and Aspen-Grassland in (Sub)Boreal - frequent low and mixed severity wildfires

BCLT 2 - Dry Lodgepole Pine Spruce on Plateau moderately frequent high and mixed severity wildfire

BCLT 1 - Mesic and Wetter Spruce, Subalpine Fir (Hemlock) in Mountains infrequent high severity wildfires

BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir Southern Interior restoration burns

IFD5 1997 – prior to burning

IFD5 2017 – after thinning to 700 sph and burning



From Ross et al. 2018

BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir Southern Interior

Frequency of late seral bunchgrasses at Miller Road site.



No significant in late seral bunchgrasses difference due to treatment (p<.05) at year 14



BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir Southern Interior - restoration burns

Responses of some non-native plant species over time on 21 restoration burns

Non-native	Catagony
species	Category
common dandelion	nuisance
black medic	nuisance
yellow salsify	tracked
Canada bluegrass	agronomic
cheatgrass	tracked
field filago	nuisance
Kentucky bluegrass	agronomic
perennial sow-thistle	tracked
Canada thistle	FRPA listed
quackgrass	agronomic
red clover	agronomic
bull thistle	FRPA listed
common St. John's-wort	FRPA listed
white sweet-clover	agronomic
sulphur cinquefoil	FRPA listed
alsike clover	agronomic

Common Response Increased Incr/decr Increased variable variable variable Lost Decreased Incr/decr variable variable Incr/decr Increased _ost Increased _ost





Decreasers







Some non-native species increased in frequency – most were not serious concerns. Others decreased, varied or disappeared.

BCLT 4 - Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir Southern Interior – Summary



- Fire opened stands, reduced tree regeneration layer
- Limited mineral soil exposure
- Limited non-native (weedy) species, some concerns
- Late seral bunchgrasses recovery was very slow, more successful in the moister zones.
- Grazing by livestock and wild ungulates likely interfering with restoration

Conclusions

- Most BC ecosystems are adapted to and fairly resilient to fire.
- Burning can lead to development of desired plant species (e.g. conifers moose browse, berry producers)
- Responses varied by ecosystem type.



For more information, contact Evelyn Hamilton at <u>ehhamilton16@gmail.com</u> (p) CC 01 (250 514 4887) Reports and talks at <u>www.db2020.net</u>

Thanks to

- Forest Enhancement Society of BC (FESBC)
- BC Ministry of Forests Lands, Natural Resource Operations & Rural Development (MFLRNORD)
- Numerous people who provided data, questions, and insight.



Change in cover of lifeforms over 20 years in broadcast burned sites in ESSF, ICH, & SBS zones

- Cover of plants associated with mature forests increased forming >40% of cover by year 20
- Faster recovery & conifer growth in lower elevation sub-boreal (SBS) vs. subalpine (ESSF)
- Greater, more persistent deciduous tree & tall shrub cover in SBS vs. ESSF
- Ericaceous shrubs prominent in ESSF by yr. 20
- Few invasive weeds

Conclusions: These ecosystems are fairly resilient to burning



Change in cover of plant functional types (PFT) post-burn in burned sites (ESSF)

Results – Summary

	BCLT 4. Dry very fire-prone Ponderosa Pine, Douglas-fir in Southern interior	BLCT 1. Infrequently burned – Moist Spruce, Fir, Cedar, Hemlock in Mountains
1. General - What are the general ecological effects of fire on ecosystems?	 Ecosystems are resilient to restoration burns 	 Most ecosystems are fairly resilient to broadcast burning
	 Fire opened stands, reduced tree regeneration 	 Cover of mature forest species returning to preburn levels by year 20
	 Limited mineral soil exposure & non-native species 	 More conifer & deciduous tree/shrub in SBS vs. ESSF by year 20
	 Slow return to reference condition. 	 Ericaceous shrubs prominent in ESSF by year 20
	 Late seral bunchgrass regrowth was slow if it occurred 	 Moose browse species increase after fire for 20 yrs, more abundant where conifer density is lower
		 Berry producing plants increase post fire for 20 years, more abundant on wetter sites and low fire severity sites

• Species like devil's club are sensitive to

Results

- Broad Community Landscape Types (BCLT)
- BCLT 1 Moist Spruce, Subalpine Fir, Hemlock in Mountains
- 3. BLCT 2 Dry Lodgepole Pine Spruce on Plateau
- 4. BCLT 3 Aspen Mixedwood and Aspen-Grassland
- 5. BCLT 4 Dry Ponderosa pine Douglas-fir Southern Interior



Lessons learned – Monitoring

- Importance of well-designed long-term monitoring with controls (including exclosures), pre- and post-treatment, multi-year sampling.
- Value of long-term fundamental monitoring data to meet new needs – not previously identified
- Need for dedicated programs and staff to collect, QA, archive and extend information to users.
- Role of end-users of data



Cover of berry producing plants after fire

100 64 õ 36 % Cover 8 16 8 Mixed wood Swamp forest 4 Conifer mod+ sev Conifer low sev 5 10 15 0 20 Time since fire (years)

Total Berry Producers







Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of plot data



Ordination across gradients of fire history (Axis 1) and site productivity (Axis 2). 49 sites. Stress = .137

Broad Plant Community Types - N & C BC



NMDS1 (49% of variation)

Ordination of central and northern plant communities across gradients of fire history (NMDS Axis 1) and site productivity (NMDS Axis 2).

Broad Community Types -N & C BC



NMDS1 (49% of variation)

Broad Community Landscape Types – N & C BC



NMDS1 (49% of variation)

Broad Community Landscape Types – N & C BC



NMDS1 (49% of variation)

Next Steps

- Develop collaborations
- Further analysis to answer key questions
- Carbon Provide data to support carbon models (e.g. residue levels, consumption, biomass, regrowth)
- Fire and landscape flammability – Provide data for fuel load analysis
- Linking plot data to landscape level through satellite imagery

